arXiv:0806.0226v1 [hep-ph] 2 Jun 2008

Nearly Invariance of Jarlskog Determinant due to Planck Scale Effects

Bipin Singh Koranga

June 2, 2008

Kirori Mal college (University of Delhi,) Delhi-110007, India

Abstract

An asymmetry between the probabilities $P(\nu_\mu \rightarrow \nu_e)$ and $P(\bar{\nu_\mu} \rightarrow \bar{\nu_e})$ would be direct indication of CP violation at the fundamental level. Planck scale effects on neutrino mixing, we have derived the mixing angles of neutrino flavour due to Planck scale effects. It has been shown that Jarlskog determinant remains nearly invariant due to Planck scale effects.

1 INTRODUCTION

The evidence of a deficit of detected solar neutrinos [1] indicates that electron neutrinos must also participate in lepton mixing. The participation of all three neutrinos in lepton mixing raises the possibility of CP and T violation in neutrino oscillations. The emergence of large mixing parameter in lepton sector indicate the potentially large CP and T violation are maximal for neutrinos in vacuum. A number of auther [2-6] have explored the phenomenology of CP and T violation in neutrino oscillations for several different scenarios of lepton masses and mixing parameters. In both solar and atmospheric neutrino experiments can traverse a significant of the earth. Long baseline accelerator and reactor experiment still longer baseline. CP violation arise as three or more generation [7, 8]. CP violation in neutrino oscillation is interesting because it relates directly to CP phase parameter in the mixing for $n > 3$ degenerate neutrino. We can write down the compact formula for the difference of transition probability between conjugate channel.

$$\Delta P(\alpha, \beta) = P(\nu_\mu \rightarrow \nu_e) - P(\bar{\nu_\mu} \rightarrow \bar{\nu_e}), \tag{1}$$

where

$$(\alpha, \beta) = (e, \mu), (\mu, \tau), (\tau, e).$$

The main physical goal in future experiment are the determination of the unknown parameter θ_{13} and upper bound $sin^2 2\theta_{13} < 0.01$ is obtained for the ref

[9]. In particular, the observation of δ is quites interesting for the point of view that δ related to the origin of the matter in the universe. The determination of δ is the final goal of the future experiments. We get the analytical expression for $\Delta P(\alpha, \beta)$ using the usual form of the MNS matrix parametrization [10].

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} c_{12}c_{13} & s_{12}c_{13} & s_{13}e^{-i\delta} \\ -s_{12}c_{23} - c_{12}s_{23}s_{13}e^{i\delta} & c_{12}c_{23} - s_{12}s_{23}s_{13}e^{i\delta} & s_{23}c_{13} \\ s_{12}s_{23} - c_{12}c_{23}s_{13}e^{i\delta} & -c_{12}s_{23-}s_{12}s_{13}s_{23}e^{i\delta} & c_{23}s_{13} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2}$$

where c and s denoted the cosine and sine of the respective notation, thus $\Delta P(\alpha, \beta)$ in vacuum can be written as

$$\Delta P(\alpha, \beta) = 16J \left(sin\Delta_{21} sin\Delta_{32} sin\Delta_{31} \right). \tag{3}$$

Here α and β denote different neutrino or anti-neutrino flavour
where

$$\Delta_{ij} = 1.27 \left(\frac{\Delta_{ij}}{eV^2} \right) \left(\frac{L}{Km} \right) \left(\frac{1GeV}{E} \right), \tag{4}$$

$\Delta_{ij} = (m_i^2 - m_j^2)$is the difference of i^{th} and j^{th} vacuum mass square eigen value, E is the neutrino energy and L is the travel distance and the well known Jarlskog determinant [11], J is the standard mixing parametrization is given by

$$J = Im \left(U_{e1} U_{e2}^* U_{\mu 1}^* U_{\mu 2} \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{8} sin2\theta_{12} sin2\theta_{23} sin2\theta_{13} cos\theta_{13} sin\delta, \tag{5}$$

and the asymmetry parameter suggested by Cabibbo [12], as an alternative to measure CP violation in the lepton sector

$$A_{cp} = \frac{\Delta P}{P(\nu_\mu \rightarrow \nu_e) - P(\bar{\nu_\mu} \rightarrow \bar{\nu_e}),} \tag{6}$$

The purpose of this paper is to study the Planck scale effects on Jarlskog Determinant. In Sec-2, we discuss the neutrino mixing angle due to Planck scale effects. In Sec-3, we give the conclusions.

2 NEUTRINO MIXING ANGLE DUE TO PLANCK SCALE EFFECTS

To calculate the effects of perturbation on neutrino observables. The calculation developed in an earlier paper [13]. A natural assumption is that unperturbed (0^{th} order mass matrix M is given by

$$\mathbf{M} = U^* diag(M_i) U^\dagger, \tag{7}$$

where, $U_{\alpha i}$ is the usual mixing matrix and M_i , the neutrino masses is generated by Grand unified theory. Most of the parameter related to neutrino oscillation are known, the major expectation is given by the mixing elements U_{e3}. We adopt the usual parametrization.

$$\frac{|U_{e2}|}{|U_{e1}|} = tan\theta_{12} \tag{8}$$

$$\frac{|U_{\mu 3}|}{|U_{\tau 3}|} = tan\theta_{23} \tag{9}$$

$$|U_{e3}| = sin\theta_{13} \tag{10}$$

In term of the above mixing angles, the mixing matrix is

$$U = diag(e^{if1}, e^{if2}, e^{if3})R(\theta_{23})\Delta R(\theta_{13})\Delta^* R(\theta_{12})diag(e^{ia1}, e^{ia2}, 1). \tag{11}$$

The matrix $\Delta = diag(e^{\frac{1\delta}{2}}, 1, e^{\frac{-i\delta}{2}})$ contains the Dirac phase. This leads to CP violation in neutrino oscillation $a1$ and $a2$ are the so called Majoring phase, which effects the neutrinoless double beta decay. $f1$, $f2$ and $f3$ are usually absorbed as a part of the definition of the charge lepton field. Planck scale effects will add other contribution to the mass matrix that gives the new mixing matrix can be written as [13]

$$U^{'} = U(1 + i\delta\theta),$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} U_{e1} & U_{e2} & U_{e3} \\ U_{\mu 1} & U_{\mu 2} & U_{\mu 3} \\ U_{\tau 1} & U_{\tau 2} & U_{\tau 3} \end{pmatrix} + i \begin{pmatrix} U_{e2}\delta\theta^*_{12} + U_{e3}\delta\theta^*_{23,} & U_{e1}\delta\theta_{12} + U_{e3}\delta\theta^*_{23}, & U_{e1}\delta\theta_{13} + U_{e3}\delta\theta^*_{23} \\ U_{\mu 2}\delta\theta^*_{12} + U_{\mu 3}\delta\theta^*_{23,} & U_{\mu 1}\delta\theta_{12} + U_{\mu 3}\delta\theta^*_{23}, & U_{\mu 1}\delta\theta_{13} + U_{\mu 3}\delta\theta^*_{23} \\ U_{\tau 2}\delta\theta^*_{12} + U_{\tau 3}\delta\theta^*_{23}, & U_{\tau 1}\delta\theta_{12} + U_{\tau 3}\delta\theta^*_{23}, & U_{\tau 1}\delta\theta_{13} + U_{\tau 3}\delta\theta^*_{23} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{12}$$

Where $\delta\theta$ is a hermition matrix that is first order in μ[13,14]. The first order mass square difference $\Delta M^2_{ij} = M^2_i - M^2_j$,get modified [13,14] as

$$\Delta M^{'2}_{ij} = \Delta M^2_{ij} + 2(M_i Re(m_{ii}) - M_j Re(m_{jj})). \tag{13}$$

The change in the elements of the mixing matrix, which we parametrized by $\delta\theta$[13], is given by

$$\delta\theta_{ij} = \frac{iRe(m_{jj})(M_i + M_j) - Im(m_{jj})(M_i - M_j)}{\Delta M^{'2}_{ij}}. \tag{14}$$

The above equation determine only the off diagonal elements of matrix $\delta\theta_{ij}$. The diagonal element of $\delta\theta_{ij}$ can be set to zero by phase invariance. Using Eq(12), we can calculate neutrino mixing angle due to Planck scale effects,

$$\frac{|U^{'}_{e2}|}{|U^{'}_{e1}|} = tan\theta^{'}_{12} \tag{15}$$

$$\frac{|U^{'}_{\mu 3}|}{|U^{'}_{\tau 3}|} = tan\theta^{'}_{23} \tag{16}$$

$$|U^{'}_{e3}| = sin\theta^{'}_{13} \tag{17}$$

As one can see from the above expression of mixing angle due to Planck scale effects, depends on new contribution of mixing $U^{'} = U(1+i\delta\theta)$. To see the mixing angle due to Planck scale effects [13,15] only $\theta_{13}$and θ_{12} mixing angle have small deviation due to Planck scale effects.

3 JARLSKOG DETERMINANT DUE TO PLANCK SCALE EFFECTS

Let us compute Jarlskog determinant due to new mixing due to Planck scale effects

$$J^{'} = Im\left(U^{'}_{e1}U^{'*}_{e2}U^{'*}_{\mu 1}U^{'}_{\mu 2}\right)$$

$$= Im((U_{e1} + i(U_{e2}\delta\theta^{*}_{12} + U_{e3}\delta\theta_{13}))((U_{e2} - i(U^{*}_{e1}\delta\theta^{*}_{12} + U^{*}_{e3}\delta\theta_{13}))$$

$$((U^{*}_{\mu 1} - i(U_{\mu 2}\delta\theta_{12} + U_{\mu 3}\delta\theta_{13}))((U_{\mu 2} + i(U_{\mu 1}\delta\theta_{12} + U_{\mu 3}\delta\theta^{*}_{23}) \tag{18}$$

We simplified Jarlskog determinant due to new mixing matrix

$$J^{'} = Im\left(U_{e1}U^{*}_{e2}U^{*}_{\mu 1}U_{\mu 2}\right) + Im(i(U_{\mu 1}U_{\mu 2})(|U_{e2}|^2\delta\theta^{*}_{12} + U_{e2}U_{e3}\delta\theta_{13} - |U_{e1}|^2\delta\theta^{*}_{12} - U_{e1}U^{*}_{e3}\delta\theta^{*}_{23})$$

$$+Im(i(U^{*}_{e1}U_{e2})(|U_{\mu 1}|^2\delta\theta_{12} + U^{*}_{\mu 1}U_{\mu 3}\delta\theta^{*}_{23} - |U_{\mu 2}|^2\delta\theta_{12} - U_{\mu 2}U^{*}_{\mu 3}\delta\theta_{13})$$

$$= J + \Delta J$$

In term of mixing angle, we can write Jarlskog determinant in term of mixing parameter due to Planck scale effects

$$J^{'} = \frac{1}{8}sin2(\theta_{12} + \epsilon_{12})sin2(\theta_{23} + \epsilon_{23})sin2(\theta_{13} + \epsilon_{13})cos(\theta_{13} + \epsilon_{13})sin\delta, \tag{19}$$

Numerically % change of Jarlskog determinant is very small [17], due to small change of mixing angle θ_{12} and θ_{13}. In reminder of this paper, we explore the neutrino mixing and jarlskog determinant due to Planck scale effects. In order to ensure that our discussion is relevant to theoretical, we will restrict our considerations to neutrino mixing parameter due to Planck scale effects, which are not experimental. Finally, we can wish one comment, if future experiment find the non zero value of CP phase so we can say there is small possible CP asymmetry due to Planck scale effects.

References

[1] Supper-Kamiokande Collaboration, Y. Fukuda *et al.*,Phys.Lett B **436**, 33, (1998).

[2] G. C. Branco, M.N Rebelo, Acta Phys.Polon.**B**38:3819-3850,2007.

[3] Hiroshi Nunokawa, Stephen J. Parke, Jose W.F. Valle, Prog.Part.Nucl.Phys.**60**:338-402,2008.

[4] Frans R. Klinkhamer, Phys.Rev.**D**73:057301,2006.

[5] M.C. Gonzalez *et al.*,Phys.Rev.**D**64:096006,2001

[6] Zhi-zhong Xing, Phys.Lett.**B**487:327-333,2000.

[7] M. Kobayashi and T. Maskawa, Prog. Theor. Phys. Rev. Lett. **45**, 652 (1973).

[8] V. Varger *et al.*,Phys.Rev.Lett **45**, 2084 (1980).

[9] CHOOZ Collaboration, M. Apollonio, Phy. Lett B **420**, 397 (1998).

[10] Review of Particle Physics, J. of Physics. **G** 33, 156 (2006).

[11] C. Jarlskog, Phys. Rev. Lett.55, 1039 (1985).

[12] N. Cabibbo, Phys.Lett **B** 72,333 (1978).

[13] F. Vissani *et al.*,Phys.Lett. B571, 209, (2003).

[14] Bipin Singh koranga, Mohan Narayan and S. Uma Sankar, arXiv:hep-ph/0607274,(Accepted in Phys.Lett **B**)

[15] Bipin Singh koranga, Mohan Narayan and S. Uma Sankar, arXiv:hep-ph/0611186..

[16] Bipin Singh koranga arXiv:0707.2045.

[17] Bipin Singh koranga and S. Uma Sankar, arXiv:0704.1788